

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Y

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/729,867	12/04/2000	Alexander J. Hartmann	042390.P10326	6801	
7590 05/24/2004			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Michael J. Mallie			BALI, VIKKRAM		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2623		
Los Angeles, (CA 90025		DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/729,867	HARTMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vikkram Bali	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. a reply within the statutory minimum of third beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>25 February 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are ref 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restricti	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	·					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)



Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-8,10-12,14,15,18,20-22,24,25,27,28,30,31,33,34,37,38,40,41,43-46,48,49,51,52,55,56 and 58-62.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1-8,10-12,14,15,18,20-22,24,25,27,28,30,31,33,34,37,38,40,41,43-46,48,49,51,52,55,56 and 58-62.

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the amendment filled on 2/25/2004, all the amendments have been entered and the action follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3-5 recites the limitation "the noise reduced third image" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,867

Art Unit: 2623

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-8, 10-12, 14-15, 18, 20-22, 24-25, 27-28, 30-31, 33-34, 37-38, 40-41, 43-46, 48-49, 51-52, 55-56, and 58-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Distinguishing photographs and graphics on the world wide web, by Athitsos, IEEE 1997 in view of Zhang et al (US 5491627).

With respect to claims 1-8, 10-12, 14-15, 18, 20-22, 24-25, 27-28, 30-31, 33-34, 37-38, 40-41, 43-46, 48-49, 51-52, 55-56, and 58-62, the rejections are maintained and incorporated by references as set forth in the prior office action paper # 2. Furthermore, Athitsos also discloses the feature vector as the edge feature of the image, (see page 12 section 4 image metrics, and page 11 column 2, last paragraph, wherein the edge contours between the regions are considered as the distinctions in the images) as claimed in the independent claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,867

Art Unit: 2623

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/25/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the references fail to disclose or teach training a classification model from a noise to classify as claimed in independent claims.

Examiner disagrees and would like to point out that the limitations are given their broadest reasonable interpretations. The reference of Athitsos, distinguishes photographs and graphics, depending upon the color of the images (see page 11 col. 2 last two paragraphs), these decision trees are train (see page 14 section 5 and 5.1) and the training is done per the color and the amount of noise (see page 11 col. 2 first paragraph) as claimed.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 703.305.4510.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703.308.6604. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vikkram Bali/

Examiner

Art ψnit 262

vb

May 18, 2004